The Essence of Research Methodology

A Concise Guide for Master and PhD Students in Management Science



Contents

1	Look	sing at Research	1
	1.1	Introduction	
	1.2	Looking at Reality	
	1.3	Problematising	5
	1.4	Problem Stakeholders	
	1.5	Conducting Research	9
	1.6	The Nature of a Research Question	11
		1.6.1 Open and Closed Questions	11
	1.7	Linking the Research Question, Problem and Goal	13
		1.7.1 Problem Definition	13
	1.8	The Position and Role of the Researcher	15
	1.9	What Is Methodology?	17
	1.10	Chapter Summary	
	Refe	rences	19
2	The	Essence of Methodology	21
	2.1	Introduction	21
	2.2	Search Behaviour: From Problem to Answer	23
	2.3	The Research Pyramid	25
	2.4	Basic Attitude Matching 'Search Behaviour'	26
		2.4.1 Basic Approach	27
		2.4.2 Positivism	29
		2.4.3 Constructivism	29
	2.5	Methodology: Not a Map, But a Domain	31
	2.6	Methodology and Method	33
		2.6.1 Methods	33
	2.7	Techniques: Thinking and Acting	34
	2.8	Data Techniques	36
	2.9	The Distinction Between Qualitative and Quantitative Research	38
	2.10	Research Design	
	2.11	Chapter Summary	40
	Refe	rences	41

Contents xiii

		5.5.3 Example 2: Chain Reasoning According to Toulmin	85		
		5.5.4 The Instructions of Chain Reasoning	85		
		5.5.5 Example 3: Action Research			
		5.5.6 Guidelines for Action Research			
	5.6	Qualitative Techniques			
	5.7	Qualitative Research Criticised			
		5.7.1 Box 5.7: Analysing a simple conversation (2)			
	5.8	Chapter Summary			
		erences			
	Inte	erlude II Combining a Qualitative and Quantitative Approach			
		in One Research Design	. 91		
	A.1	Combining a Qualitative and Quantitative Approach in One			
		Research Design	. 91		
		A.1.1 Introduction	. 92		
		A.1.2 Phase 1: Observing and Deducing	. 92		
		A.1.3 Phase 2: Theorising and Conceptualising	93		
		A.1.4 Phase 3: Interpretation and Application			
		A.1.5 Combining the Best of Both	. 94		
		A.1.6 Using the Nature of the Question for a Multi-method			
		Approach	. 95		
	Ref	erences	. 96		
6	Ass	essing Your Research	97		
	6.1	Introduction	97		
	6.2	Juggling with Requirements and Criteria	99		
		6.2.1 Classification of Criteria	99		
		6.2.2 In Advance	99		
		6.2.3 During	100		
		6.2.4 Afterwards	101		
	6.3	Quantitative Requirements	103		
	6.4	Qualitative Requirements	103		
	6.5	Responsibility Assessment	105		
	6.6	Criticism	106		
		6.6.1 Quantitative	107		
		6.6.2 Qualitative	107		
	6.7	Chapter Summary	108		
	Ref	erences	109		
7	Δct	Acting and Organising			
•	7.1	Introduction	111		
	7.1	Acting	113		
	1.2	7.2.1 Action Repertoire	115		
		7.2.2 Reflecting	116		
	7.3	Normal Organisational Actions in Relation to Research Action	118		
	, .5	7.3.1 Knowing	118		
		0			

xiv Contents

D . (es Glossary	1.00
10	Epil	ogue	165
9	Con	nparative Glossary	137
	Refe	prences	136
	8.5	Epilogue	136
		8.4.4 Maintenance	135
		8.4.3 Justification	135
		8.4.2 Readability	135
		8.4.1 Title and Structure	134
	8.4	Checklist for assessing a Master Thesis or Dissertation	134
	8.3	A Summary in the Form of Questions	130
	8.2	The Research Proposal	128
-	8.1	Introduction	127
8	Elal	oorating Your Own Research Design	127
	Refe	erences	126
	7.6	Chapter Summary	126
	7.5	Methodology and Technique	124
		7.4.3 Action and Designing	123
		7.4.2 Criticism	123
		7.4.1 Patriarch Lewin	122
	7.4	Design and Change	121
		7.3.3 Acting and Organising	120
		7.3.2 Justifying	119

List of Figures

Fig.	1.1	The field of research	16
Fig.	2.1	The box of bricks of research	22
Fig.	2.2	The research pyramid	23
Fig.	2.3	From problem to answer	24
Fig.	2.4	Research design related to theory, methodology, question	
		and context	40
Fig.	3.1	Construct as meant	52
Fig.	3.2	Relations between concepts and variables	52
Fig.	4.1	Empirical cycle: deductive	67
Fig.	4.2	The box of bricks: closed question	68
Fig.	5.1	Empirical cycle: Inductive	78
Fig.	5.2	The box of bricks: open question	79
Fig.	5.3	Qualitative research strategies. Miles and Huberman (1994)	82
Fig.	5.4	Chain reasoning: data versus claim. Toulmin et al. (1979)	85
Fig.	5.5	Quantitative and qualitative research combined	93
Fig.	5.6	Nature of the question combined	95

List of Exercises

- Box 1.1: Looking at Reality?
- Box 1.2: Who is Having a Problem?
- Box 1.3: Example of an 'Open' Question
- Box 1.4: Example of an 'Closed' Question
- Box 1.5: Brief Checklist at the Start of a Research
- Box 1.6: Presenting Your Research
- Box 1.7: Checklist Problem Definition
- Box 1.8: Discussing What Constitutes Good Research
- Box 2.1: Questioning the Basic Approach of the Researcher
- Box 2.2: Are you a Positivist or a Constructivist?
- Box 2.3: Basic Approach Related to a Research Question
- Box 2.4: Defining Methodology
- Box 2.5: The Methodology Needed to Plan a Holiday
- Box 2.6: Translating you Intuition into a Methodology
- Box 2.7: Distinguish Methodology from Methods
- Box 2.8: Understanding the Notion of 'Technique'
- Box 2.9: Preparing a Talk
- Box 3.1: Example of a Conceptual Model
- Box 3.2: Possible 'Side' Effects of Conceptualising
- Box 3.3: Embeddedness
- Box 3.4: Display of a Conceptual Model
- Box 3.5: Different Starting Points for Conducting Research
- Box 3.6: Operationalisation of the Environment of an Organisation
- Box 3.7: Construction of a Hypothesis
- Box 3.8: The Use of Sensitising Concepts
- Box 3.9: A Label as Starting Point in the Construction of a Conceptual Model
- Box 4.1: Examples of Closed Questions
- Box 4.2: Relevance for Whom?
- Box 4.3: Checklist of a Problem Definition
- Box 4.4: Start of a Quantitative Research

xviii List of Exercises

- Box 4.5: The Flow of Quantitative Research
- Box 4.6: Examples of Statistical Techniques
- Box 5.1: Examples of Open Questions
- Box 5.2: The Flow of Qualitative Research
- Box 5.3: The Focus of a Case Study
- Box 5.4: Classifying Conversations
- Box 5.5: Analysing a Simple Conversation (1)
- Box 5.6: Interview Processing
- Box 6.1: Criteria for Judging Research
- Box 6.2: Exercise Regarding Market Opportunities
- Box 6.3: Dilemmas of Conducting Research
- Box 6.4: Reliability?
- Box 6.5: Research Criteria: Qualitative and Quantitative
- Box 6.6: Changing Requirements During Your Research
- Box 6.7: Communication Problems
- Box 7.1: A Simple Exercise Regarding Everyday Acting
- Box 7.2: The Nature of Acting
- Box 7.3: Managerial Acting: Entrepeneurship
- Box 7.4: The Rationality of Thinking
- Box 7.5: Discussion Regarding Everyday Acting
- Box 7.6: Some Definitions of Acting
- Box 7.7: Discussion: Methodology and Action
- Box 7.8: Discussing the Nature of Organising
- Box 7.9: Discussing a Methodology of Organising
- Box 7.10: Techniques Revisited
- Box 7.11: Relating Techniques to a Methodology

About the Authors

Dr. J. Jonker is an associate professor and research fellow at the Nijmegen School of Management of the Radboud University Nijmegen (Holland). His main research interest focuses on organisational change, corporate social responsibility (CSR) and business strategy. He is a visiting professor at the University of Nottingham (UK), the Business Schools of Nancy and Toulouse (France) and Barcelona (Spain). He has written many books and numerous articles. He combines his academic work with business consulting, thus staying in touch with different problems, discourses and realities.

Dr. B.J.W. Pennink is an assistant professor at the Faculty of Economics and Business of the University Groningen (Holland) where he mainly teaches courses in research methodology and International Management to undergraduates and graduates in different Masters programmes. He lectures at several universities in Indonesia (Jakarta, Bandung). In addition he was a visiting lecturer at the University of Ouagadougou in Burkina Faso between 1991 and 2005. Since 2006 he is project manager of collaboration project around Capacity Building of the IFM (Institute Finance Management) in Dar Es Salaam.

They both have been lecturers in Qualitative Methodology for the Dutch Organisation for Business Research (NOBEM) between 1989 and 2004. NOBEM was a fruitful network organisation between universities across The Netherlands providing methodology courses for PhD students in the field of business studies. This book is based on precious and pleasurable experience gained teaching together for more then a decade. A concise edition of this book appeared in Dutch under the title "De Kern van Methodologie" (2000 (first edititon) and 2004 (second edition)) published by Royal Van Gorcum Publishers (Assen).

Chapter 1 Looking at Research

Abstract This chapter outlines the structure and topics covered in this book. The central aim is to teach students how to design and conduct proper (applied) research. Research starts by identifying the research question. The questions addressed here, are linked to the 'reality' of organisations. This reality is problematic for two reasons – firstly, owing to the nature of organisations itself and secondly, the problems that arise as a result of the processes of organising. Problems in this area need to be broken down into a problem definition leading to a research goal and question. We assume that if you have a clear understanding of the problem, you implicitly also have the solution. Problems in organisations are by definition linked to various stakeholders. Two of them – the client and the researcher – often jointly work out what the problem is. The researcher often has to navigate between the requirements made by the organisation and those representing the community of science – the third important stakeholder. Dealing with the various requirements of these stakeholders creates tension for the researcher and he needs to reflect carefully before taking any action. Subsequently, handling the framed problem properly requires methodology. That is what this book is all about.

1.1 Introduction

Imagine the following situation: a company's manager calls up your institute and asks if there is a student available to conduct research into the way quality management systems can be better implemented. By making this telephone call the manager thinks he has found an efficient and maybe even effective solution to his problem and, at the same time, has done the institute – or rather the student – a favour by offering a trainee post. Maybe there is even some money involved! Perhaps he also hopes that the student – once he is carrying out the assignment in